

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Riches
<b>Given Name</b>	Dan
<b>Person ID</b>	1287079
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Riches
<b>Given Name</b>	Dan
<b>Person ID</b>	1287079
<b>Title</b>	JPA 35: North of Mosley Common
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Far too many new homes already, new flood spots appearing since recent new builds, insufficient infrastructure to support such a great number of new builds, roads and transport links insufficient, Andy Burnham sold us a useless busway, buses cancelled and full, if the metro link had been extended the transport would have at least been sufficient but the V bus service with its cancellations is rubbish, 1100 homes bring a number of kids with no new schools in the area
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	More roads better transport links and more shops, doctors, maybe don't build any more homes until the surrounding area can cope with an influx of people